

ANNEX

Priority criteria			YES,		NO	Comments
HIGH PRIORITY CRITERIA	(1) Have the applicant's tasks been suppressed by current reorganisation measures and is it impossible to identify suitable new tasks for the applicant immediately or in the near future?	Art. 5 (4) a) i)				
	(2) Do current reorganisation or redeployment measures affect the applicant and is it difficult to find a new assignment due to the nature of his skills	Art. 5 (4) a) ii)				
	(3) Has the applicant been affected by recent reorganisation or redeployment measures and do the new tasks assigned to the official match satisfactorily with his skills?	Art. 5 (4) a) iii)				
	(4) Is it likely that the applicant will be affected by a reorganisation or redeployment measure in the near future (e.g. because their current tasks are being phased out or substantially modified or do not contain sufficient added value to the Directorate-General or service) and are his skills such that identifying a new assignment is likely to prove difficult?	Art. 5 (4) a) iv)				
HIGH PRIORITY CRITERIA	(5) If the applicant occupies a sensitive post and is obliged to change duties in the next 12 months, is it unlikely that a suitable new post would be identified within this period.	Art. 5 (4) a) (v)				

	(6) do the job requirements still correspond to the applicant's skills and aptitudes	Art. 5 (4) b)				
	If NO for criteria (6): (c) Are the official's skills such that identifying a new, adequate assignment is likely to prove difficult?	Art. 5 (4)				
	(7) Can the problem in re-assigning (see criteria (2) to (6) the official to a new task be addressed by training measures?	Art. 5 (5)				
	If YES for criteria (7) (a) (b) Would training measures require disproportionate time and resources?	Art. 5 (5)				
	(8) Does the candidate's application put forward a particular personal situation that requires his presence at home or at a place other than the place of assignment?	Art. 5 (6)				
			Yes		No	
—	Has the official/temporary agent made a positive contribution to the work of the department/Commission over a significant period					

	CONCLUSION/GENERAL ASSESSMENT		HIGH priority	LOW priority	no priority	
	Is it, generally and taking into account the priority criteria, considered to be in the interest of the service to terminate the service of the official?					
	Supplementary information					